Metal–Organic-Framework-Derived Mesoporous Carbon Nanospheres Containing Porphyrin-Like Metal Centers for Conformal Phototherapy
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Photon therapy encompasses two therapeutic techniques: photothermal therapy (PTT) and photodynamic therapy (PDT). PTT involves photothermal agents, which rapidly convert near-infrared (NIR) light energy into ablative heat to kill cancer cells via electron–phonon and phonon–phonon coupling interactions.[1] In PDT, photosensitizers activate reactive oxygen species (ROS) under specific wavelength irradiation, which can irreversibly destroy nearby cancer cells following a sequence of biochemical and biophysical processes.[2]

Porphyrin and their derivatives are commonly used photosensitizers in PDT, and approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).[3] However, porphyrin-based sensitizers have a number of drawbacks which hinder their clinical application, such as their instability to photo-bleaching, hydrophobic nature, poor penetration depth, and phototoxicity. Considerable research effort has been directed toward improving photosensitizer delivery through the use of nanocarriers including polymeric micelles,[4] liposomal,[5] dendrimers,[6] silica-based,[7] upconversion nanoparticles,[8] and so forth. However, premature leakage, instability, and low activity of photosensitizer-carrier systems remain obstacles to high treatment efficiencies and improved patient outcomes. Strong incentives exist to develop novel porphyrin-based photosensitizers with good stability, low toxicity, water solubility, and deeper penetration depth.

Besides PDT applications, porphyrins and their derivatives also exhibit oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) activity.[9] However, their ORR activity decreases rapidly with use due to their poor stability under reaction conditions. Recently, transition metal and nitrogen co-doped carbons (M–N–C, where M = Fe, Co, Zn, etc.) possessing metal coordination centers comparable to those present in porphyrin macrocycles were reported as efficient and stable electrocatalysts for ORR.[10] M–N–C can be obtained via the calcination of precursors containing transition metals, nitrogen, and carbon under high temperature.[11] Like porphyrins, M–N–C can coordinate metals in different ways, changing their redox state, axial ligation, and electronic structure, thereby creating active sites for reducing O₂ to H₂O or OH⁻.[12] In view of the fact that both ORR and PDT involve...
oxygen activation, it is highly plausible that M–N–C catalysts with porphyrin-like metal centers could serve as stable photosensitizer candidates for PDT cancer therapy. Surprisingly, no literature studies evaluating the performance of M–N–C for PDT have been reported to date, motivating a detailed investigation.

Herein, we successfully synthesized monodisperse mesoporous carbon nanospheres containing zinc centers (denoted as “PMCS”) that were modified by PEG–vitamin E (PEG–VE) (PEGylated PMCS) and confirmed that such materials could be used as stable photosensitizers in phototherapy. The PMCS were obtained from an imidazolate framework (ZIF-8) precursor by a surface protected pyrolysis strategy. The strategy involved surface coating of ZIF-8 with mesoporous silica (mSiO$_2$), followed by high-temperature pyrolysis and finally removal of the mSiO$_2$ shell by NaOH etching. This surface protection step prevented the irreversible aggregation of M–N–C that typically occurs during high-temperature pyrolysis of ZIF-8, thereby affording PMCS with fast in vivo nanoparticle transport and enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effects. X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy here for the first time probed the coordination structure of zinc atoms and revealed zinc-centered porphyrin-like structure in the PMCS. Interestingly, the singlet oxygen ($^{1}$O$_2$) quantum yield of PMCS was comparable with indocyanine green (ICG), an FDA approved NIR fluorescent dye/photosensitizer. Furthermore, the black PMCS nanoparticles show exceptionally high photothermal conversion efficiency (33.0%) compared with other mesoporous carbon sphere samples (22.2%) or widely used PTT agents, such as gold nanoshells (13.0%), gold nanorods (21.0%), and copper sulfide (16.3%). Most importantly, NIR thermal and photoacoustic (PA) contrast properties of the PMCS allow real-time imaging-guided precise therapy. We believe that this study lays a firm foundation for the wider use of carbon nanoparticles containing porphyrin-like moieties in conformal phototherapy.

PMCS were synthesized via carbonization of a ZIF-8 metal–organic framework (MOF) using a mesoporous-silica protection strategy (Figure 1a, and Figure S1a–d, Supporting Information). In this strategy, mSiO$_2$ shell was first coated on the surface of ZIF-8 to provide a physical barrier against aggregation during the subsequent high-temperature pyrolysis stage. The resulting ZIF-8@mSiO$_2$ was then transferred to PMCS@mSiO$_2$ by high-temperature treatment. Finally, by etching the mSiO$_2$ shell with aqueous NaOH, monodisperse PMCS nanoparticles with an average diameter of ≈140 nm were obtained (Figure 1b, and Figure S1e, Supporting Information). The size and monodispersity of PMCS are suitable for rapid nanoparticle uptake and penetration for cancer therapy due to the EPR effect. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms for PMCS revealed a microporous–mesoporous material with a specific surface area of 950 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, a cumulative pore volume...
of 1.97 cm$^{-3}$ g$^{-1}$, and pore sizes of 1.3 and 3.9 nm (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The PMCS retained much of the internal porosity of the ZIF-8 precursor, aided obviously by the mesoporous silica protection strategy adopted herein. The form of carbon material in PCMS was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Figure S3, Supporting Information) and the Raman spectroscopy (Figure S4, Supporting Information). In the XRD pattern, a broad peak at $\approx 26^\circ$ is indexed as the (002) plane of the graphitic carbon, with no signals of ZnO or Zn metal element.$^{[20]}$ In the Raman spectrum, two peaks at 1358 and 1580 cm$^{-1}$ are observed, and readily assigned to defect-induced breathing mode of sp$^3$ rings (D-band) and the stretching vibrations of sp$^2$-bonded (G-band) carbon, respectively.$^{[21]}$ The $I_G/I_D$ ratio of $\approx 0.9$ was quite high for a heteroatom doped carbon material, as heteroatoms generally create defects in the sp$^2$ carbon lattice.$^{[22]}$ The heteroatom doping was confirmed by element mapping and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Element mapping of PMCS (Figure 1c–g) indicated a homogeneous dispersion of C, N, O, and Zn in the structure. The survey XPS spectrum of PMCS (Figure S5, Supporting Information) confirmed the presence of C, N, O, and Zn, with atom ratios of 70.3%, 18.5%, 10.1%, and 1.1%, respectively. The high-resolution N1s XPS spectrum of PMCS was deconvoluted into three peaks at 398.2, 399.9, and 402.4 eV (Figure 1h), assigned to pyridinic, pyrrolic, and graphitic N, respectively.$^{[10b,23]}$ XAFS spectroscopy was used to further probe the local coordination environment of Zn atoms in PMCS. As shown in Figure 1i, the Zn K-edge of XANES of PMCS exhibits a near-edge structure very different to those of Zn foil and ZnO, but similar to that of the tetraphenylporphyrinato zinc (porphyrin Zn), indicating that the local coordination environment of Zn in PMCS is similar to that of the porphyrin Zn. This conclusion was further confirmed by the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) results. EXAFS spectra and their corresponding Fourier transforms with best fit curves are reported in Figure 1g and Figure S6 (Supporting Information), respectively. EXAFS spectra for PMCS were again very similar to that of the porphyrin Zn. The numerical results of the best fits obtained after EXAFS data treatment, inter-atomic distances ($R$), and coordination number ($N$), are summarized in Table S1 (Supporting Information). The Zn–N inter-atomic distance ($R$) of PMCS of 2.03 Å is identical to that of the porphyrin Zn. Coordination number (4.6) of PMCS was also similar to that of porphyrin Zn (4.0). The slight difference is mainly due to the imperfect porphyrin structure in PMCS, though the results strongly suggest that Zn centers in PMCS and the porphyrin Zn possess very similar local coordination environments.$^{[24]}$ Results of Raman, element mapping, XPS, and XAFS analyses strongly suggest PMCS contain an abundance of porphyrin-like metal centers.

Light absorption in the NIR region is a prerequisite for NIR-induced PDT photosensitizers. The UV–vis–NIR absorption spectrum of PMCS in Figure 1k shows that it has a strong absorption in the NIR, indicating it has potential as an NIR-induced PDT photosensitizer. To establish the intrinsic photodynamic properties of PMCS, we measured the production of ROS using the dye dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA), which is oxidized to 2,7-dichlorofluorescein (DCF) (excitation/emission wavelength: 495 nm/529 nm) by ROS.$^{[18]}$ A PMCS-phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution and pure PBS were irradiated under an NIR laser (808 nm, 1 W cm$^{-2}$) for 10 min. Subsequently, DCFH-DA dye was added and the solution was left for 2.5 h, followed by centrifugation. Absorbance signals (Figure S7a, Supporting Information) and emission signals (Figure S7b, Supporting Information) arising from the generation of ROS were detected. Time-dependent electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements for PMCS under white-light irradiation (50 μg mL$^{-1}$) confirmed the generation of 1O$_2$ with 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperide (TEMP) as the trapping probe (Figure S8, Supporting Information). The 1O$_2$ generated by PMCS can be ascribed to electronic transfer to molecular oxygen from conjugated sp$^2$-bond of porphyrin-like structures in the PMCS structure, analogous to a type II photodynamic reaction.$^{[26]}$ We quantified the generation of 1O$_2$, the primary cytotoxic agent of tumor cells, using disodium 9,10-anthracenedipropionic acid (Na$_2$-ADPA) as the 1O$_2$ trapping agent and ICG as the reference (1O$_2$ quantum yield, $\Phi_{1O2} = 0.0020$ (Figure 2a,b)).$^{[25]}$ The analysis used NIR absorption at 808 nm. Figure 2c,d showed that the absorbance intensity of Na$_2$-ADPA gradually decreased with time under NIR light (1 W cm$^{-2}$), indicating that Na$_2$-ADPA was photo-bleached by 1O$_2$ produced by PMCS and ICG. The rate constant for Na$_2$-ADPA decomposition by PMCS was $\approx 0.01671$ min$^{-1}$, slightly larger than that of ICG ($\approx 0.01441$ min$^{-1}$) (Figure 2e,f, and Figure S9, Supporting Information). Furthermore, the 1O$_2$ quantum yield of PMCS was calculated to be $\approx 0.0023$ (see the Supporting Information for more details), which was slightly higher than the value of ICG ($\Phi_{1O2} = 0.0020$). Results imply that PMCS are excellent sensitizers for PDT.

Materials with strong NIR absorption are generally considered to be good photothermal agents for PTT.$^{[28]}$ Accordingly, the photothermal properties of PMCS were investigated. We measured the temperature increase of PMCS aqueous dispersions of different concentration under 808 nm NIR irradiation (1 W cm$^{-2}$ for 10 min). As shown in Figure 3a,b, the temperature of PMCS aqueous dispersions increased rapidly with irradiation time and also with PMCS concentration. In contrast, the temperature of pure water increased by only 2.32 °C after NIR irradiation for 10 min. The result was confirmed by infrared (IR) thermal imaging of water and a PMCS aqueous dispersion (100 μg mL$^{-1}$) as a function of NIR irradiation time (Figure 3c). Further experiments were conducted in which PMCS (50 μg mL$^{-1}$) was irradiated by 808 nm NIR at 1 W cm$^{-2}$ for five cycles (2 min irradiation followed by a 2 min cooling period) (Figure 3d), which indicated that the pulsed irradiation did not significantly change photothermal performance of these nanoparticles. No noticeable particle aggregation or change of morphology was observed after irradiation for 10 min (Figure S10, Supporting Information), suggesting the dispersions have good stability. Additionally, the photothermal conversion efficiency ($\eta$) was calculated as $\approx 33.0\%$, much higher than most other photothermal agents such as Au nanorods (21.0%),$^{[17]}$ Au shells (13.0%),$^{[17]}$ Bi$_2$S$_3$ nanorods (28.1%),$^{[27]}$ and Cu$_{34}$S nanoparticles (16.3%).$^{[18]}$ (Figure S11, Supporting Information). The strong photothermal conversion capacity and excellent photostability of PMCS suggest that they have great potential as photothermal agents.

To investigate the in vitro toxicity and phototoxicity effects of PMCS for biomedical applications, the potential toxicity of
PMCS was first measured at different concentrations (80, 40, 20, 10, 5, 2.5, 1.25, and 0.625 μg/mL−1) by incubation with HepG2 cells for 24 h using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. As Figure 4a shows, no significant toxicity was observed at PMCS concentrations ranging from 0.625 to 80 μg/mL−1. These results illustrate that at low concentrations PMCS is

Figure 2. Quantitative measurement of singlet oxygen quantum yield generated by PMCS. ICG was used as a comparative reference. a, b) UV-vis-NIR spectra for ICG and PMCS, respectively. c, d) Time-dependent photodegradation of Na2-ADPA caused by 1O2 generated by ICG and PMCS under NIR irradiation (808 nm, 1 W cm−2, 9 min). e, f) Rate constants for Na2-ADPA decomposition in the presence of ICG and PMCS, respectively.

Figure 3. a) Temperature elevation of water and PMCS aqueous dispersions of different concentration (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 μg/mL−1) under 808 nm laser irradiation with a power density of 1 W cm−2 for 10 min. b) Plot temperature change (ΔT) over a period of 10 min versus the concentration of PMCS. c) IR thermal images of water and PMCS aqueous dispersion (100 μg/mL−1) after irradiation for 10 min. d) Real-time temperature measurement of PMCS aqueous dispersion (50 μg/mL−1, 1 mL) under 808 nm NIR light irradiation with a power density of 1 W cm−2, for five cycles. Each cycle consisted of 2 min irradiation followed by a 2 min cooling phase.
biocompatible, similar to observations for other carbon materials. However, the cell viability decreased rapidly at concentrations above 20 μg mL\(^{-1}\) under 808 nm laser irradiation (1 W cm\(^{-2}\), 3 min) at 4 and 37 °C (Figure 4b). Fluorescence images (Figure S12, Supporting Information) demonstrate that the cells were killed (red color) within the irradiation area, while the cells outside this region were alive (green color). The data confirmed efficient killing of cancer cells by PMCS under NIR irradiation. Cell viability under NIR irradiation at 37 °C was about half that at 4 °C (Figure 4b). This illustrates that at 37 °C, localized heating and ROS generated by the action of NIR irradiation on PMCS are responsible for cell apoptosis via combination of PTT and PDT. For the groups at 4 °C, cellular damage was predominantly a PDT effect. ROS generation by PMCS under NIR irradiation in cancer cells was evaluated via the fluorescent probe, DCFH-DA. The results in Figure 4c and Figure S13a (Supporting Information) show that the fluorescence signal in experiment groups (PMCS+NIR) is higher than that observed in the other groups, especially the control group, consistent with findings of the in vitro treatment and photo-degradation experiments. Furthermore, oxidative stress induced by excessive ROS can cause mitochondrial dysfunction. Thus, we also measured the change in mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), an important indicator of mitochondrial dysfunction, by the 5,5′,6,6′-tetrachloro-1,1′,3,3′-tetraethyl-imidacarbocyanine (JC-1) assay. For normal mitochondria, fluorescent red JC-aggregates are produced by JC-1 gathering in mitochondria. For damaged mitochondria, MMP decreases due to fluorescent green JC-monomer generation by re-dispersion of red JC-aggregates in the cytoplasm. Figure 4d and Figure S13b (Supporting Information) reveal that cells treated by PMCS and NIR irradiation have a low red/green fluorescence ratio, indicating a loss of MMP and mitochondrial dysfunction induced by ROS. Due to their strong NIR absorption, carbon-based nanomaterials have been widely researched as contrast agents in PA imaging with improved tissue penetration and high spatial resolution. Here, it was found that the in vitro PA signal intensity of PMCS-PBS (100 μg mL\(^{-1}\)) was highly wavelength-dependent (Figure 5a). Further, after PMCS injection, in vivo PA imaging signals were also detected in the tumor region at wavelengths of 730, 760, and 800 nm. Therefore, both in vitro and in vivo tests indicated that PMCS is effective as a contrast agent for PA imaging at tumor sites, demonstrating its potential for conformal cancer therapy.

Encouraged by the positive PTT/PDT performance of PMCS under NIR irradiation, we verified an in vivo combination therapy effect using CD-1 nude mice bearing HepG2 tumors. The nude mice bearing HepG2 tumor were injected intratumorally (i.t.) with a PMCS-PBS solution (2 mg mL\(^{-1}\), 150 μL). We monitored the temperature variation of the tumor location exposed to 808 nm NIR laser (0.6 W cm\(^{-2}\)) using an IR
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thermal camera. In Figure 5c, the tumor surface temperatures treated with PMCS under NIR irradiation rapidly reached ≈57.6 °C (in ≈3 min), which was sufficient for tumor ablation. In contrast, the temperature around the tumor treated with PBS increased only slightly (by about 5.5 °C). This result confirmed effective in vivo tumor destruction mediated by the high photothermal conversion efficiency of PMCS. Next, we measured the in vivo therapeutic effect of PMCS (Figure 5d). The nude mice bearing HepG2 tumor was randomly divided into four groups: i) control group; ii) NIR group (0.6 W cm⁻²),
In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that PMCS achieved excellent IR and PA contrast performance for HepG2-bearing mice, allowing real-time monitoring of therapeutic processes and image-guided precise conformal therapy. Most importantly, PTT/PDT synergetic therapy can result in complete tumor regression for tumor-bearing nude mice after 808 nm laser treatment. Results suggest that carbon nanospheres containing porphyrin-like metal centers could play an important role in future cancer treatment.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials**: Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, 2-methylimidazole, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), PEG–vitamin E, and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) were obtained from Sigma. ICG and ADPA were purchased from ENERGY. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) were purchased from Beijing Solarbio Science &Technology (China). All reagents used in this work were analytical reagents (A.R.) and used without any further purification.

**Synthesis of ZIF-8 Nanoparticles**: A solution of Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (32 mmol in 500 mL CH$_3$OH) was poured into a solution containing 135 mmol 2-methylimidazole and 0.3 mmol CTAB in methanol (400 mL), and the resulting solution stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The white solid precipitate was separated by centrifugation and washed with methanol.

**Synthesis of ZIF-8@mSiO$_2$ Core@Shell Nanoparticles**: ZIF-8 was dispersed in 240 mL of a 0.001 mol% methanol solution which had already been adjusted to pH 11 with NaOH, and then 6 mL of an aqueous CTAB (0.068 mol L$^{-1}$) solution was added. TEOS (1.2 mL) was then added dropwise into the above solution, and the resulting dispersion was stirred for 0.5 h. The resulting core–shell nanoparticles were separated by centrifugation and washed with ethanol.

**Characterization**: A JEM-2100 transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at 200 kV was used to characterize the morphology and structure of PMCS. High-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM)–energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) (Tecnal G2 F20 U-Twin) was used to characterize PMCS morphology and analyze the bulk distribution of C, Zn, O, and N. XRD pattern was taken on a Bruker D8 Focus X-ray diffractometer with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda$ = 1.5405 Å). Porphyrin-like structure in the PMCS was analyzed by XPS and XAFS spectroscopy. XAFS measurements were performed at the 1W1B beamline of Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility. Standard EXAFS data reduction procedures were undertaken using the program package IFEFFIT (Newville 2001). The ZnO spectrum was used to determine an empirical S02 value (0.87), which was then fixed for the fitting of all subsequent samples. UV–vis–NIR spectra for PMCS were collected on either a JASCO UV–vis–NIR spectrometer. Raman spectra were taken on an inVia-Reflex system. N$_2$ physisorption data were used to measure the specific surface area, pore size, and pore volume of PMCS (Quadrasorb SI-MP). 1O$_2$ was quantified by an ESR spectrometer (E 500E).

**Synthesis of Porphyrin-Like Mesoporous Carbon Nanospheres (PMCS)**: The ZIF-8@mSiO$_2$ core@shell sample was pyrolyzed at 800 °C for 2 h under flowing N$_2$, and then allowed to cool slowly to room temperature. The pyrolyzed sample was then etched with 4 μL NaOH solution to remove the mSiO$_2$ shell, followed by centrifugation and washing with deionized water several times until the supernatant was neutral. Then, PMCS were modified by mixing with PEG–VE (1 kDa) solution followed by centrifugation and washing with deionized water two times.

**In Vitro ROS Measurements of PMCS**: ROS Detection by DCFH-DA: PMCS-PBS solution (50 μg mL$^{-1}$, 1 mL) was irradiated at 808 nm for 10 min at a power density of 1 W cm$^{-2}$. Then, 250 mmol of DCFFH-D (6 mg mL$^{-1}$) was added to the samples, followed by incubation for 2.5 h. The supernatant was collected by centrifugation (5 min, 9000 rpm), and analyzed by UV–vis and fluorescence spectroscopy.

**Singlet Oxygen Measurements by ESR**: 1O$_2$ generation was evaluated by ESR using the TEMP spin-trapping adduct, which gives rise to a...
characteristic triplet ESR signal. Spectra of spin trapped $^{13}O_2$ were obtained by mixing 10 μL TEMP with 50 μL PMCS solution at 50 μg mL$^{-1}$. Samples were injected into custom-made quartz capillaries for ESR analysis and then the cavity of the ESR spectrometer was irradiated by white light source for 2 min.

**Singlet Oxygen Quantum-Yield Measurements:** The Na$_2$-ADPA was used as $^{13}O_2$ trapping agent, with ICG used as reference ($^{13}O_2$ quantum yield $\Phi_{\text{O}_2}=0.0020$). In the experiment, the UV absorption at 808 nm of PMCS and ICG was adjusted to ±0.5 OD to avoid inner-filter effects. Then, Na$_2$-ADPA solution (60 μL, 1 mg mL$^{-1}$) was added to the PMCS solution (1.5 mL) with NIR laser light irradiation (808 nm, 1 W cm$^{-2}$) for 9 min. The decomposition rate of Na$_2$-ADPA by PMCS and ICG was recorded at various irradiation times, and quantified by the absorbance intensity at 378 nm. The detailed calculation of $^{13}O_2$ quantum yield is described in the Supporting Information.

**In Vitro Photothermal Measurement:** The photothermal conversion efficiency of PMCS at different concentrations (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 μg mL$^{-1}$) was determined by continuous wave (CW) GCSLS-05-007 semiconductor laser device (Daheng New Epoch Technology, Inc., Beijing, China) operating at 808 nm and a power density of 1 W cm$^{-2}$. A vial containing PMCS solution (1 mL) was irradiated by NIR laser light transferred by an optical fiber. The temperature change of the solution was determined by the thermo-detector (Optex Co., Ltd., Japan). The detailed protocol is described in the Supporting Information.

For real-time measurements, PMCS (1 mL, 50 μg mL$^{-1}$) was irradiated by 1 W cm$^{-2}$, 808 nm NIR light for five cycles. Each cycle consisted of 2 min irradiation followed by a 2 min natural cooling. Temperature data points were collected at 1 min intervals.

**Hemolysis Assay:** A hemolysis assay was carried out to evaluate the cytotoxicity of PMCS in vitro. Rabbit red blood cells (RBCs) were obtained from the rabbit heart blood by centrifugation and washing with PBS (2%). 0.5 mL of the RBC solution was then mixed with 0.5 mL PMCS PBS solution at different concentrations (15.63, 31.25, 62.5, 125, and 250 μg mL$^{-1}$). Water and PBS were used as the positive and negative controls, respectively. All samples were mixed gently and kept at room temperature for 3 h. The absorbance of each supernatant, obtained by centrifugation, was measured at 570 nm on a JASCO UV–vis spectrophotometer. The hemolysis ratio was calculated by using the formula: hemolysis ratio = (sample absorbance – negative control absorbance)/(positive control absorbance – negative control absorbance) × 100.

**Cellular Experiments:** The human liver carcinoma cell line (HepG2 cells) was originally obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and was cultured in a high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) at 37 °C and a 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere.

**In Vitro Cytotoxicity:** The in vitro cytotoxicity of PMCS was evaluated by CCK-8 assay. HepG2 was seeded in 96-well plates at 10$^4$ well$^{-1}$ and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere. The cell viability was detected by CCK-8 assay following a standard protocol.

For in vitro photothermal/photodynamic cell cytotoxicity experiments, HepG2 cells were incubated with PMCS at different concentrations (80, 40, 20, and 10 μg mL$^{-1}$) for 24 h. The cells were irradiated by NIR laser light at a power density of 1 W cm$^{-2}$ for 3 min at 37 °C, and incubated for 24 h. The in vitro photothermal/photodynamic combination effect was determined by a CCK-8 assay. For the co-staining of HepG2 cells by calcein AM/PI, HepG2 cells were incubated with PMCS (25 μg mL$^{-1}$) for 6 h in culture plates. Then, the HepG2 cells were co-stained with calcein AM/PI for 15 min after 808 nm laser irradiation (0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min). Green color stained by calcein AM and red color stained by PI represented live and dead cells, respectively.

**Fluorescent Imaging:** For determination of ROS levels via fluorescent imaging, HepG2 cells were co-incubated with or without PMCS solution for 6 h in four different groups: control group, NIR group (808 nm, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min), PMCS group (25 μg mL$^{-1}$), and PMCS+NIR group (25 μg mL$^{-1}$, 808 nm, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min), respectively. Then, the fluorescent dye, DCFH-DA (10 μmol L$^{-1}$), was added and co-incubated for 50 min at 37 °C. The NIR and PMCS+NIR groups were irradiated by 808 nm NIR laser light (0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min) after replacing the medium with PBS. ROS level was determined by fluorescence microscopy. For the JC-1 assay, the PMCS was co-incubated with cells for 6 h in different groups: control group, NIR groups (808 nm, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min), PMCS (25 μg mL$^{-1}$), and PMCS+NIR groups (25 μg mL$^{-1}$, 808 nm, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min). The cells were stained with JC-1 for 30 min before washing with PBS. Then, the mitochondrial damage/disruption was detected by fluorescence microscopy.

**Animal Model:** ICR mice and CD-1 nude mice (aged 4–5 weeks) were purchased from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd. and used in compliance with a local ethics committee. The CD-1 nude mice were injected subcutaneously with serum-free DMEM of HepG2 cells (4 × 10$^7$, 200 μL).

**Multimodal Imaging:** For in vitro IR thermal imaging, 1 mL of a 100 μg mL$^{-1}$ PMCS solution was irradiated by a CW GCSLS-05-007 semiconductor laser device at 808 nm with a power density of 1 W cm$^{-2}$ for 10 min. The temperature was monitored by infrared thermal mapping apparatus (FLIR). For in vivo IR thermal imaging, the CD-1 nude mice bearing the HepG2 tumors were i.t. injected with 150 μL of a 2 mg mL$^{-1}$ PMCS-PBS solution. The FLIR monitored the temperature change of the local tumor during irradiation period (808 nm, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min).

For in vitro PA imaging, PA signals of PMCS (100 μg mL$^{-1}$) at different excitations wavelengths were collected by multispectral optoacoustic tomography (MSOT inVision 128, (Thera medical, Germany). For in vivo PA imaging, 150 μL of PMCS-PBS solution (2 mg mL$^{-1}$) was i.t. injected into tumor-bearing CD-1 nude mice. PA signals were recorded by MSOT after 10 min.

**In Vivo Combination Therapy:** The male nude mice bearing HepG2 tumors ($n=12$) were randomly distributed into four groups: i) a control group (PBS injection); ii) NIR group (laser only irradiation, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min); iii) PMCS group (PMCS i.t. injection, 20 mg kg$^{-1}$); and iv) PMCS+NIR group (PMCS i.t. injection, 20 mg kg$^{-1}$, 0.6 W cm$^{-2}$, 3 min). The CW GCSLS-05-007 semiconductor laser device at 808 nm was used as a source of laser light. During the treatment period, the tumor size was measured with calipers and the body weights were also recorded every day. The tumor volume was calculated by the formula: tumor volume = (tumor length) × (tumor width)$^2$/2. After 30 d, all mice were sacrificed, and tumor tissues and major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney) were harvested and fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm, and stained with H&E. After H&E staining, the slides were examined with optical microscopy (Nikon Eclipse Ti-S, CDD: Ri1).

**In Vivo Systemic Toxicity:** Healthy ICR female mice ($n=3$) were used in this experiment. The first mouse received a dose one step below the assumed estimate of the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) according to a pre-reported method. Doses of 400, 200, 150, 100, 50, 25, and 12.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ were i.v. administered. The clinical manifestations were observed carefully, and body weights recorded during experiment period. After 16 d, the mice were sacrificed. The major organs were harvested and fixed in 10% formalin for H&E staining and microscopic visualization. Blood biochemistry was also examined using venous blood samples from the experimental mice.

**Supporting Information**

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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